



APJ Abdul Kalam Technological University
CET Campus, Thiruvananthapuram
Kerala -695016
India

Academic Audit Report
2020 - 2021

Basic Details

Institution	COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING ATTINGAL
First Auditor Name	Remya R S
Second Auditor Name	
Visit	First
Semester Type	Odd

College Specific Assessments

Key Aspects	Rating	Auditor Remarks	Principal's Response
Compliance to the Academic Calendar of KTU (B)	Excellent(5)		
Functioning of students grievances and appeal committee (B)	Good(4)		Noted. Will be improved
Average student to faculty ratio (A)	Excellent(5)	value=1	
Faculty Qualification Index (A)	Very Poor(1)	value=4.16	
Number of qualified technical staff (A)	Excellent(5)		
Facility of central library with respect to volume, title of books, online print journals (A)	Excellent(5)		
Functioning of IQAC and Progress of IQAC report uploading (A)	Good(4)		

Student Interaction

Key Aspects	Rating	Auditor Remarks	Principal's Response
Syllabus coverage (B)	Excellent(5)		
Effectiveness of teaching/learning process	Excellent(5)		
Conduct of Labs (B)	Excellent(5)		
Continuous assessment (B)	Excellent(5)		
Interaction/discussion/doubt clearing etc (A)	Excellent(5)		
Net connectivity issue	Excellent(5)		
Extra fees/fine imposed on students (B)	Excellent(5)		
Approach of the management/institution in addressing student grievances (B)	Excellent(5)		
Availability of Faculty for all subjects (A)	Excellent(5)		
Any other remarks (C)	Not Relevant		

COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING-(Full Time)

Key Aspects	Rating	Auditor Remarks	Principal's Response
Class/course committee meetings and action taken report (B)	Excellent(5)		
Advisory meetings and action taken report (B)	Excellent(5)		
Result analysis of previous odd semester (A)	Excellent(5)		
Syllabus coverage as per course plan (A)	Excellent(5)		

Platform used for LMS/Course materials and lectures uploaded (A)	Excellent(5)		
Conduct of minor/honours classes (A)	Excellent(5)		
NPTEL/SWAYAM course materials recommended for reading (B)	Poor(2)		Noted. Will be improved
Conduct of Internal assessments for theory and lab classes (A)	Excellent(5)		
The mechanisms for taking feedback as to whether the online classes are effective (B)	Excellent(5)		
Conduct of series tests (A)	Excellent(5)		
Maintenance of course diary (A)	Excellent(5)		
Register showing Activity points (B)	Excellent(5)		
Conduct of laboratory classes with relevant details(A)	Excellent(5)		
Online Faculty evaluation amp remarks of the HoD (A)	Good(4)	one time faculty online evaluation	Noted. Will be corrected

ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING-(Full Time)

Key Aspects	Rating	Auditor Remarks	Principal's Response
Class/course committee meetings and action taken report (B)	Excellent(5)		
Advisory meetings and action taken report (B)	Excellent(5)		
Result analysis of previous odd semester (A)	Excellent(5)		
Syllabus coverage as per course plan (A)	Excellent(5)		

Platform used for LMS/Course materials and lectures uploaded (A)	Excellent(5)		
Conduct of minor/honours classes (A)	Excellent(5)		
NPTEL/SWAYAM course materials recommended for reading (B)	Good(4)		
Conduct of Internal assessments for theory and lab classes (A)	Good(4)		
The mechanisms for taking feedback as to whether the online classes are effective (B)	Excellent(5)		
Conduct of series tests (A)	Excellent(5)		
Maintenance of course diary (A)	Excellent(5)		
Register showing Activity points (B)	Excellent(5)		
Conduct of laboratory classes with relevant details(A)	Fair(3)		
Online Faculty evaluation amp remarks of the HoD (A)	Good(4)	one time faculty online evaluation	

ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGG-(Full Time)

Key Aspects	Rating	Auditor Remarks	Principal's Response
Class/course committee meetings and action taken report (B)	Excellent(5)		
Advisory meetings and action taken report (B)	Excellent(5)		
Result analysis of previous odd semester (A)	Excellent(5)		
Syllabus coverage as per course plan (A)	Excellent(5)		

Platform used for LMS/Course materials and lectures uploaded (A)	Excellent(5)		
Conduct of minor/honours classes (A)	Excellent(5)		
NPTEL/SWAYAM course materials recommended for reading (B)	Poor(2)		
Conduct of Internal assessments for theory and lab classes (A)	Excellent(5)		
The mechanisms for taking feedback as to whether the online classes are effective (B)	Excellent(5)		
Conduct of series tests (A)	Excellent(5)		
Maintenance of course diary (A)	Excellent(5)		
Register showing Activity points (B)	Excellent(5)		
Conduct of laboratory classes with relevant details(A)	Excellent(5)		
Online Faculty evaluation amp remarks of the HoD (A)	Good(4)	one time faculty evaluation	